

# Proofs for Free in the $\lambda\Pi$ -Calculus Modulo Theory

LFMTP 2024

---

Thomas Traversié



université  
PARIS-SACLAY



# The landscape of proof systems

- Many different proof systems, data structures and encodings

## Proof systems



Agda

LEMN  
THEOREM PROVER



## Data structures and encodings

Natural numbers

Integers

Reals

Sets

- Improve **interoperability** and re-usability of proofs
  - Easily exchange proofs between proof systems
  - Easily exchange proofs between encodings

- The  $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo theory [Cousineau and Dowek, 2007]
  - Logical framework used for **exchanging proofs between systems**
  - Implemented in the Dedukti proof language
- Parametricity [Bernardy *et al.*, 2010]
  - Method used for **transferring proofs between encodings**
  - TROCQ, a Coq plugin for proof transfer [Cohen *et al.*, 2024]

**Goal:** transfer proofs between different theories of the  $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo theory

- We define an **interpretation of theories** of the  $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo theory
  - For theories that feature basic notions
  - When the source theory can be **embedded** into the target theory
- We show how the proofs of the source can be **transferred** to the target
- We give examples of interpretations in Dedukti

`https://github.com/thomastraversie/InterpDK`

Theories in the  $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo theory

Interpretation of theories

Examples of interpretations

Conclusion

Theories in the  $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo theory

Interpretation of theories

Examples of interpretations

Conclusion

# The $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo theory

- $\lambda$ -calculus extended with **dependent types** and **rewrite rules**

- Syntax

Sorts  $s ::= \text{TYPE} \mid \text{KIND}$

Terms  $t, u, A, B ::= c \mid x \mid s \mid \Pi(x : A). B \mid \lambda(x : A). t \mid t u$

Signatures  $\Sigma ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Sigma, c : A \mid \Sigma, \ell \hookrightarrow r$

Contexts  $\Gamma ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, x : A$

$\Pi x : A. B$  written  $A \rightarrow B$  if  $x$  not in  $B$

- Theory  $\mathbb{T}$  given by a well-defined signature  $\Sigma$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : \text{TYPE} \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : s \quad \Gamma, x : A \vdash t : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda(x : A). t : \Pi(x : A). B} \text{ [ABS]}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \Pi(x : A). B \quad \Gamma \vdash u : A}{\Gamma \vdash t u : B[x \mapsto u]} \text{ [APP]}$$

**Conversion**  $\equiv_{\beta\Sigma}$  generated by  $\beta$ -reduction and the rewrite rules of  $\Sigma$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash t : A \quad \Gamma \vdash B : s}{\Gamma \vdash t : B} \text{ [CONV] } A \equiv_{\beta\Sigma} B$$



## Prelude encoding (1)

- Notions of proposition and proof [Blanqui et al, 2023]
- Universe of **sorts**  $Set : \text{TYPE}$ , injection  $El : Set \rightarrow \text{TYPE}$   
Sort  $nat : Set$ , natural number  $n : El\ nat$   
Sort  $o : Set$ , proposition  $P : El\ o$
- Universe of **propositions**  $El\ o : \text{TYPE}$ , injection  $Prf : El\ o \rightarrow \text{TYPE}$   
A proof of proposition  $P$  is of type  $Prf\ P$

## Prelude encoding (2)

- Desired behavior: functionality and implication

$$El (a \rightsquigarrow b) \leftrightarrow El a \rightarrow El b$$

$$Prf (a \Rightarrow b) \leftrightarrow Prf a \rightarrow Prf b$$

- Dependent functionality and implication

$$El (a \rightsquigarrow_d b) \leftrightarrow \prod z : El a. El (b z)$$

$$Prf (a \Rightarrow_d b) \leftrightarrow \prod z : Prf a. Prf (b z)$$

- Universal quantifiers over object-terms and proof-terms

$$Prf (\forall a b) \leftrightarrow \prod z : El a. Prf (b z)$$

$$El (\pi a b) \leftrightarrow \prod z : Prf a. El (b z)$$

- $\mathbb{T} = \Sigma_{pre} \cup \Sigma_{\mathbb{T}}$
- Constants and rewrite rules  $\Sigma_{pre}$  of the prelude encoding
- Constants and rewrite rules  $\Sigma_{\mathbb{T}}$  **defined by the user**
- Constraint: for every  $c : A \in \Sigma_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have  $\vdash A : \text{TYPE}$

## Example: theory of natural numbers $\mathbb{T}_n$

$\text{nat} : \text{Set}$        $0_n : \text{El nat}$        $\text{succ}_n : \text{El nat} \rightarrow \text{El nat}$        $\geq_n : \text{El nat} \rightarrow \text{El nat} \rightarrow \text{El o}$

■  $\geq_n$  is reflexive, transitive and  $\text{succ}_n x \geq_n x$

■ Induction principle

$\text{rec}_n : \Pi(P : \text{El nat} \rightarrow \text{El o}). \text{Prf } (P 0_n) \rightarrow$   
 $[\Pi(x : \text{El nat}). \text{Prf } (P x) \rightarrow \text{Prf } (P (\text{succ}_n x)))] \rightarrow$   
 $\Pi(x : \text{El nat}). \text{Prf } (P x)$

■ Theorem

$\Pi(x : \text{El nat}). \text{Prf } (\text{succ}_n x \geq_n 0_n)$  ✓

## Example: theory of integers $\mathbb{T}_i$

$\text{int} : \text{Set}$        $0_i : \text{El int}$        $\text{succ}_i : \text{El int} \rightarrow \text{El int}$        $\text{pred}_i : \text{El int} \rightarrow \text{El int}$   
 $\geq_i : \text{El int} \rightarrow \text{El int} \rightarrow \text{El o}$

### ■ Generalized induction principle

$\text{rec}_i : \Pi(x_0 : \text{El int})(P : \text{El int} \rightarrow \text{El o}). \text{Prf } (P \ x_0) \rightarrow$   
 $[\Pi(x : \text{El int}). \text{Prf } (x \geq_i x_0) \rightarrow \text{Prf } (P \ x) \rightarrow \text{Prf } (P \ (\text{succ}_i \ x))] \rightarrow$   
 $\Pi(x : \text{El int}). \text{Prf } (x \geq_i x_0) \rightarrow \text{Prf } (P \ x)$

### ■ Theorems

$\Pi(x : \text{El int}). \text{Prf } (\text{succ}_i \ x \geq_i 0_i)$  ✗  
 $\Pi(x : \text{El int}). \text{Prf } (x \geq_i 0_i) \rightarrow \text{Prf } (\text{succ}_i \ x \geq_i 0_i)$  ✓

Theories in the  $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo theory

Interpretation of theories

Examples of interpretations

Conclusion

- Goal: represent every term  $t$  of type  $A$  in the source theory  $\mathbb{S}$  by a term  $t^*$  of type  $A^*$  in the target theory  $\mathbb{T}$
- Example: natural numbers and integers
  - Represent  $El\ nat$  by  $\Sigma(z : El\ int). Prf (z \geq_i 0_i)$  ✗
  - Represent  $El\ nat$  by  $El\ int$  and introduce a **predicate** ✓
- We interpret  $\Pi(x : El\ nat). Prf (succ_n x \geq_n 0_n)$  into

$$\Pi(x^* : El\ int). \underbrace{Prf (x^* \geq_i 0_i)}_{\text{new assumption}} \rightarrow Prf (succ_i x^* \geq_i 0_i)$$

## Interpretation of terms (1)

- We define **two translations**  $t \mapsto t^*$  and  $t \mapsto t^+$  such that if  $t : A$  then  $t^* : A^*$  and  $t^+ : A^+ t^*$

- Definition of  $t \mapsto t^*$

$(x)^* := x^*$  (variable)

$(c)^* := c^*$  (parameter)

$\text{TYPE}^* := \text{TYPE}$

$\text{KIND}^* := \text{KIND}$

$(t u)^* := t^* u^* u^+$

$(\lambda(x : A). t)^* := \lambda(x^* : A^*)(x^+ : A^+ x^*). t^*$

$(\Pi(x : A). B)^* := \Pi(x^* : A^*)(x^+ : A^+ x^*). B^*$



- Definition of  $t \mapsto t^+$

$(x)^+ := x^+$  (variable)

$(c)^+ := c^+$  (parameter)

$\text{KIND}^+ := \text{KIND}$

$(t\ u)^+ := t^+ u^* u^+$

$(\lambda(x : A). t)^+ := \lambda(x^* : A^*)(x^+ : A^+ x^*). t^+$

## Interpretation of terms (3)

- If  $B : \text{TYPE}$ ,

$$(\Pi(x : A). B)^+ := \lambda(f : (\Pi(x : A). B)^*). \Pi(x^* : A^*)(x^+ : A^+ x^*). B^+ (f x^* x^+)$$

- If  $B : \text{KIND}$ , we **cannot** abstract on  $f$ 
  - We write  $T\{X\}$  when the **metavariable**  $X$  occurs in  $T$
  - We write  $T\{f\}$  when  $X$  is substituted by  $f$

$$(\Pi(x : A). B)^+\{X\} := \Pi(x^* : A^*)(x^+ : A^+ x^*). B^+\{X x^* x^+\}$$

- Definition of  $\text{TYPE}^+$

$$\text{TYPE}^+\{X\} := X \rightarrow \text{TYPE}$$

$\mathbb{S}$  has an **interpretation** in  $\mathbb{T}$  when:

1. for each constant  $c : A \in \mathbb{S}$ , we have in  $\mathbb{T}$

– a term  $c^*$  such that  $\vdash c^* : A^*$ ,

– a term  $c^+$  such that

$$\vdash c^+ : A^+ \quad c^* \quad \text{if } \vdash A : \text{TYPE}$$

$$\vdash c^+ : A^+ \{c^*\} \quad \text{if } \vdash A : \text{KIND}$$

2. for each rewrite rule  $l \hookrightarrow r \in \mathbb{S}$ , we have  $l^* \equiv_{\beta\Sigma} r^*$  and  $l^+ \equiv_{\beta\Sigma} r^+$  in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

## Parameters for the prelude encoding (1)

- We must find the **parameters for the prelude encoding**

- If  $\text{nat} : \text{Set}$ , then  $\text{nat}^* : \text{Set}$  and  $\text{nat}^+ : \text{El nat}^* \rightarrow \text{El o}$

$$\text{Set}^* := \text{Set}$$

$$\text{Set}^+ := \lambda(z : \text{Set}). \text{El } z \rightarrow \text{El } o$$

- If  $n : \text{El nat}$ , then  $n^* : \text{El nat}^*$  and  $n^+ : \text{Prf } (\text{nat}^+ n^*)$

$$\text{El}^* := \lambda(x^* : \text{Set})(x^+ : \text{El } x^* \rightarrow \text{El } o). \text{El } x^*$$

$$\text{El}^+ := \lambda(u^* : \text{Set})(u^+ : \text{El } u^* \rightarrow \text{El } o)(z : \text{El } u^*). \text{Prf } (u^+ z)$$

## Parameters for the prelude encoding (2)

- If  $P : El\ o$ , then  $P^* : El\ o$  and  $P^+ : Prf\ P^* \rightarrow Prf\ P^*$

$$o^* := o$$

$$o^+ := \lambda(z : El\ o). z \Rightarrow_d (\lambda(x : Prf\ z). z)$$

- If  $t : Prf\ P$ , then  $t^* : Prf\ P^*$  and  $t^+ : Prf\ P^*$

$$Prf^* := \lambda(x^* : El\ o)(x^+ : Prf\ (o^+ x^*)). Prf\ x^*$$

$$Prf^+ := \lambda(u^* : El\ o)(u^+ : Prf\ (o^+ u^*))(z : Prf\ u^*). Prf\ u^*$$

Suppose that  $\mathbb{S}$  has an interpretation in  $\mathbb{T}$ .

- **Interpretation theorem:** If  $\Gamma \vdash t : A$  in  $\mathbb{S}$  then  $\Gamma^{*,+} \vdash t^* : A^*$  in  $\mathbb{T}$

$\hookrightarrow$  We can **transfer** proofs from  $\mathbb{S}$  to  $\mathbb{T}$

- **Relative consistency theorem:** If  $\mathbb{T}$  is consistent, then  $\mathbb{S}$  is **consistent**

Theories in the  $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo theory

Interpretation of theories

Examples of interpretations

Conclusion

## Example: natural numbers and integers

- Natural numbers can be **embedded** into integers

$\text{nat}^* := \text{int}$

$\text{nat}^+ := \lambda(z : El \text{ int}). z \geq_i 0_i$

$\text{succ}_n^* := \lambda(x^* : El \text{ int})(x^+ : Prf (x^* \geq_i 0_i)). \text{succ}_i x^*$

- The theorems of  $\mathbb{T}_n$

$\vdash \text{thm} : \Pi(x : El \text{ nat}). Prf (\text{succ}_n x \geq_n 0_n)$

can be derived in  $\mathbb{T}_i$

$\vdash \text{thm}^* : \Pi(x^* : El \text{ int}). Prf (x^* \geq_i 0_i) \rightarrow Prf (\text{succ}_i x^* \geq_i 0_i)$



## Example: sets and pointed graphs

---

- Zermelo set theory can be represented by pointed graphs [Dowek and Miquel, 2007]
- We can **interpret** the theory of sets in the theory of pointed graphs
  - ↪ The theory of pointed graphs is computational
- Every pointed graph represents a set
  - ↪ The predicates asserting that a graph represents a set are **unnecessary**

Theories in the  $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo theory

Interpretation of theories

Examples of interpretations

Conclusion

## Takeaway message

---

- Interpretation for theories
  - With prelude encoding
  - When the source  $\mathbb{S}$  can be **embedded** in the target  $\mathbb{T}$
  
- Interpretation of a type  $A$  of  $\mathbb{S}$  by a **more general** type  $A^*$  of  $\mathbb{T}$   
But we introduce the **predicate**  $A^+$
  
- Well-suited when the target is larger than the source  
May insert unnecessary predicates

- Practical application: **implementation** in Dedukti
- Theoretical application: **relative normalization**
  - Result in deduction modulo theory using an interpretation [Dowek and Miquel, 2007]
  - Possible for the  $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus modulo theory?

**Thank you for your attention!**